Appl. No. 10/612,254 Amdt. Dated May 30, 2006 Reply to Office Action of February 28, 2006

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheet of drawings includes a change to Fig. 2. This sheet, which includes Figs. 2 and 3, replaces the original sheet including Figs. 2 and 3. Fig. 2 has been changed to include a centerline which is identified by reference letter "C."

Attachment: Replacement Sheet.

MAY 30 2006 14:39 FR ANN ARBOR

734 995 1777 TO 915712738300

P.11/14

Appl. No. 10/612,254 Amdt. Dated May 30, 2006

Reply to Office Action of February 28, 2006

• • REMARKS/ARGUMENTS • •

The Office Action of February 28, 2006 has been thoroughly studied. Accordingly, the

changes presented herein for the application, considered together with the following remarks, are

believed to be sufficient to place the application into condition for allowance.

By the present amendment, the limitations of dependent claims 2 and 10 have been

incorporated into independent claims 1 and 9 respectively and claims 2 and 10 have been

appropriately canceled.

Entry of the changes to the claims is respectfully requested.

On page 3 of the Office Action the Examiner has objected to the drawings under 37 CFR

§1.83(a). Under this objection the Examiner requested that the "central axis" of the support arm be

shown in the drawings.

In response to the objection to the drawings and the Examiner's request, applicant is

submitting a Replacement Sheet of drawings that includes Figs. 2 and 3 with the central axis of the

support arm identified by the letter "C" in Fig. 2.

The Examiner is requested to approve the amendment to the drawings so that applicant can

proceed to have formal drawings prepared for this application.

-9-

PAGE 11/14 * RCVD AT 5/30/2006 2:24:44 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/11 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:734 995 1777 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-22

Appl. No. 10/612,254 Amdt. Dated May 30, 2006

Reply to Office Action of February 28, 2006

On page 3 of the Office Action the Examiner has objected to claims 1 and 9. Under this

objection the Examiner states that "a cooperating tubular assembly is confusing given it comprises

coplanar plates."

It is believed that the added recitation of upper and lower tubular members in each of claims

1 and 9 addresses and overcomes the Examiner's objection to claims 1 and 9.

On page 4 of the Office Action the Examiner has objected to claims 2-7 and 10-17 as being

dependent upon a rejected base claim. The Examiner has indicated that claims 2-7 and 10-17 would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Claims 1, 3, 9, 11 and 18-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

Claims 1, 8, 9 and 16-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent No. 4,264,260 to Krakow.

On page 6 of the Office Action the Examiner has indicated that claims 2-7 and 10-17 are

allowed.

Inasmuch as the limitations of claims 2 and 10 have been incorporated into claims 1 and 9

respectively (effectively presenting the limitations of claims 2 and 10 in independent form), and the

Examiner has not rejected claims 2 or 10 and has indicated that claims 2 and 10 contain allowable

subject matter (and are allowable), it is submitted that, as amended, claims 1 and 9 and claims 3-8

and 11-20 which depend there from are all allowable.

-10-

PAGE 12/14 * RCVD AT 5/30/2006 2:24:44 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/11 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:734 995 1777 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-22

Appl. No. 10/612,254 Amdt. Dated May 30, 2006

Reply to Office Action of February 28, 2006

Inasmuch as claims 2 and 10 were not rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph or rejected over Krakow, no comments concerning these outstanding rejections are deemed necessary in this reply.

Entry of the present amendment and an early allowance of the application are earnestly requested.

It is believed that the above represents a complete response to the Official Action and reconsideration is requested.

If upon consideration of the above, the Examiner should feel that there remain outstanding issues in the present application that could be resolved, the Examiner is invited to contact applicant's patent counsel at the telephone number given below to discuss such issues.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR §1.136 is hereby made. Please charge the fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 12-2136 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael S. Gzybowski

Reg. No. 32,816

BUTZEL LONG 350 South Main Street

Suite 300

Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

(734) 995-3110

150674 1